### INDEX

Of

# WITNESSES

fense! Witnesses	Page
MITARAI, Tatsuo (resumed)	17841
Cross by Mr. Tavenner (cont'd)	17841
Redirect by Mr. S. OKAMOTO	17842
Examination of witness on behalf of the Defendant Marquis KIDO by Mr. Logan	17847
Direct by Mr. Brooks	17853
MORNING RECESS	17863
Recross by Mr. Tavenner	17864
(Witness excused)	17865
TAMURA, Kosaku	17872
Direct by Mr. Blewett	17872
NOON RECESS	17882
Direct by Mr. Blewett (cont'd)	17884
Cross by Mr. Comms Carr	17887
(Witness excused)	17889

# INDEX

Of

# WITNESSES

Defense' Witnesses	Pago
INOUE, Takamaro	17890
Direct by Mr. Blewett	17890
AFTERNOON RECESS	17904
Direct by Mr. Roberts (cont'd)	17905
(Witness excused)	17911
YAMAMOTO, Kumaichi	17912
Direct by Mr. Roberts	17912

### INDEX

Of

### EXHIBITS

No.	Def. No.	Pros.	Description	For dent.	In E <b>vi</b> dence
626A <b>-</b> 2	2347		Extract from Interrogation of TOJO, Hideki dated 1 February 1946 (p.5)		17869
6261-5	2348		Extract from Interrogation of TOJO, Hideki dated 6 February 1946 (pp.5-6)		17870
62 <b>6</b>	2349		Parent document of all inter- rogatories of General TOJO of 1 February 1946	17884	
626	2350		Extract from Interrogation of TOJO, Hideki dated 6 February 1946	17884	

Thursday, 6 March 1947

INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL
FOR THE FAR EAST
Court House of the Tribunal
War Ministry Building
Tokyo, Japan

The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, at 0930.

Appearances:

For the Tribunal, same as before with the exception of: HONORABLE JUSTICE DELFIN JARANILLA, Member from the Commonwealth of the Philippines, now sitting.

For the Prosecution Section, same as before.

For the Defense Section, same as before

with the exception that: FUJISAWA, Chikao is with
drawn as Japanese counsel for the Accused SATO,

Kenryo, effective 28 February 1947; and with the

addition of: MR. SAMUEL A. ROBERTS, ESQ., American

counsel for the Accused OKA, Takasumi; and MR.

SAKUMA, Japanese counsel for the Accused SHIRATORI,

Toshio.

25

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: All the accused are present except OKAWA and MAYA, who are represented by their respective counsel. I have received no explanation of the absence of KAYA.

We will proceed with the trial. He is represented by counsel.

Major Moore.

LANGUAGE ARBITER (Major Moore): Mr. President, if the Tribunal please, the following translations were referred to the Arbitration Board:

The words "at last" in exhibit No. 1239, record page 16,193, and exhibit No. 1210, record page 10,523.

It is recommended that the above translations be approved without translator's note.

THE PRESIDENT: The suggestion will be adopted.

I have here a certificate from the superin-

tendent of the Sugamo Prison certifying that the accused KAYA is ill and unable to attend the trial today.

The certificate will be recorded and filed. Mr. Tavenner.

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TATSUO MITARAI, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. TAVENNER (Continued):

Q Mr. MITARAI, my last question to you yesterday was as follows: "Your only testimony then as to the cause of the fall of the HIRANUMA Cabinet was the official statement made by the HIRANUMA Cabinet at the time of its resignation; is that correct?"

A It is doubtful whether that was the only basis. However, we might say that it was the most important reason. In order to arrive at the correct conclusion, it is necessary that we investigate the matter from all angles.

THE MONITOR: And I did so, based on this official statement of the HIRANUMA resignation.

Q In other words, your reply now is just the same as it was yesterday, which I will read to you:

"Yes. I testified on the basis of that statement."

A That is so.

Q Is the same thing true with regard to the testimony regarding the fall of the YONAI Cabinet?

1	That is, did you base your testimony on the official
2	statement made by the YONAI Cabinet at the time of
3	its fall?
4	A That is so.
5	4 Is the same thing likewise true as to your
6	testimony regarding the fall of the third KONOYE
7	Cabinet? That is, did you base your testimony on
8	the official statement made by the KONOYE Cabinet at
9	the time of its fall?
0	A It is as you say.
1	MR. TAVENNER: In view of those statements,
2	I co not desire to cross-examine further with regard
3	to those cabinets. This concludes the prosecution's
4	cross-examination.
5	
6	THE PRESIDENT: Is there any re-examination?
7	Mr. OKAMOTO.
	MR. S. OXAMOTO: I have a few short questions
8	on redirect.
9	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
0.0	BY MR. S. OKAMOTO:
1	4 In his cross-examination, Prosecutor Tavenner
2	referred to the statement by Vice-Minister of Vor
3	UMEZU, exhibit 2208-L. May I inquire if that document
4	is here and in your hand; if you have seen that

document, Mr. Witness? This is the document that the

witness referred to in his answer when he said he would like to see the original document.

THE PRESIDENT: It is in court. Please hand it to him. At least, it was in court vesterday afternoon.

Continue with some other re-examination if you have any.

MR. S. OKAMOTO: Thank you, your Honor.

(Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

Q Lid you understand?

A By that do you mean the rightist activity concerning the coup d'e tat which is mentioned in Vice War Minister UMEZU's statement?

THE MONITOR: Correction: Lo you mean this article which is entitled "The Statement of Vice-Minister of War UMEZU," dated 27th of January -- afternoon of the 27th of January, on page 91 of the book entitled "The Right Activity of the Rightist Group Around the Political Changes in Japan"?

THE PRESIDENT: It is taken from "Letails
Regarding Movements of Rightist Parties in Connection
with Change of Government, Police Section, Police
Bureau, Home Ministry," entitled "Views Expressed by
Army Authorities."

2

4 5

6

8

9

11

12 13

15 16

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

A I understand. Pardon me for putting it this way, but I think that this document is nonsensical. It can be seen at a glance that this is a report made by a petty police officer of the Metropolitan Police Board or higher police -- of the thought control police.

THE MONITOR: And to think that the prosecutor should ask me questions on this document believing this to be the authoritative cocument; I must say that this document is pure nonsense.

THE PRESIDENT: Why petty officer?

THE MONITOR: Mr. President, the translation was not petty officer. It is the officer of the thought control department of the Metropolitan Police Board or Police Bureau.

THE PRESIDENT: Petty officer was used.

THE MONITOR: Sir, I corrected it.

THE PRESIDENT: By the translator, at all events.

THE LONITOR: That was a mistake, sir. Not petty officer.

the full or the strong and the strong and

route on a restrict of the community of the

The state of the s

The structure by the tennetactive of

A (Continuing) Yesterday the prosecutor asked me whether I knew whether it was published as a state-ment of Vice-Minister of War UMEZU, and I answered that such a thing could not be. Even though it may have appeared as a statement from the Vice-Minister of War UMEZU, it comes to the fact that it was only a personal conversation, a private statement based on the report of a police officer.

THE MONITOR: Slight correction: As I supposed, this is a collection of the result of the investigation made by a police -- criminal policeman, and even if Vice-Minister of War UNEZU did make this statement, this was made to this policeman in a private capacity, and, in other words, a private conversation between UNEZU and this policeman, not a public statement.

A (Continuing) Therefore, we cannot say that it had any political significance.

Q What is the purpose of putting out such information?

A It was due to a very long practice, the practice being that the Home Minister would call for information from the police bureau. Then, the police bureau would in turn obtain information from the higher police, and then from the special higher police section of the

•

Metropolitan Police Board and of the other police stations throughout the country. Consequently, it is not a thing which is made public.

Q Was it easy for a criminal investigation officer to meet with the War Vice-Minister and to talk with him?

A We can't say that such a thing was impossible. However, in view of the fact that the Vice-Minister of War was very much occupied, it is possible that such a thing could not happen. It is difficult to believe that such a thing, such an event could happen.

THE MONITOR: Correction: That a Vice-Minister of War should speak to a more investigator.

Q Are these criminal investigators -- I ask this for the assistance of the Tribunal -- but were these criminal investigators of low rank?

A As government officials they belong to the lowest category.

Q Do these criminal investigators assemble information and publish it in the form of a statement?

A I was never a government official and I do not know exactly, and I did not see all documents of such nature. However, I have seen numerous documents during my public service, and in view of my profession, I have seen very many documents of such nature. Among

these documents I have seen statements drawn up through conversation--

3

.,

.

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

20

22

23

24

25

statement and some that were in the form of personal observations.

The MONITOR: Of the investigator.

Correction: Some that were in the form of

MR. S. OKAMOTO: Thank you, sir.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, I wish to ask a few questions on behalf of the defendant Marquis KIDO.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, we have not decided about this matter yet, Mr. Logan. If we permit this it will be without prejudice to any future course we may take. What are you claiming to do, re-examine or cross-examine, Mr. Logan? Marquis KIDO's Diary was referred to.

MR. LOGAN: That is the only thing I am going to speak about, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Without projudice to future action by the Tribunal you may ask these questions.

#### EXAMINATION

BY MA. LOGAN (on behalf of Marquis KIDO):

Q In your profession did you make it a practice of endeavoring to get the best possible information from the persons concerned with the events to which

1 you have testified?

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: Your Honor, I submit that that is not a proper line of cross-examination at this stage. That was a matter of direct examination. It was gone into by the first attorney who examined.

THE PRESIDENT: I don't recollect that question being asked. We can give permission for this further re-examination, and we give it.

MR. LOG.N: Thank you.

the discretion of the Tribunal in the circumstances, and we exercise it in fever of the counsel for KIDO.

Answer the question, Witness.

A I did try to collect information from the best possible sources, first from those directly concerned with the incident, and then even from those who were indirectly concerned -- and secondly, from those who were affected by such incidents even if they were not directly concerned.

Spratt & Yelden

Q And yesterday, when the prosecution asked you this question, "Let me read you what Mr. KIDO, in his diary item of November 17, 1931, says on that subject," and then the prosecution read an excerpt wherein it is stated among other things that "ADACHI has the confidence of a group of army men, and has been in constant contact with the army," and then followed another sentence, were you under the impression when you answered that question that that was a statement by Marquis KIDO?

A I can't form any judgment on that.

Q Did you believe from what the prosecution said that that was a statement which Marquis KIDO himself made?

A In view of the fact that the Marquis himself wrote in this diary I should think that it was so.

Q Would your answer have been different if you knew that in that diary entry Marquis KIDO was merely reporting a conversation as related to him by HARADA which occurred between two business men by the names of IKBDA and KODAMA?

THE PRESIDENT: I do not recollect Mr. Tavenner bringing that out. He may have done so; I do not recollect it.

MR. LOGAN: All he said was: "Let me read you what Mr. KIDO says on that subject." He didn't 2 bring out it was a report of a conversation.

THE WITNESS: If such a thing as the defense counsel now said did take place my answer would have been different, of course.

And during the cross-examination with respect to the WAKATSUKI Cabinet you recall yesterday that the prosecution laid importance on the fact that you left the Manchurian Incident to the last of the reasons. Do you recall that?

That I recall.

Then the prosecution didn't call to your attention the fact that as recorded in KIDO's Diary on this date, November 17, 1931, this statement with respect to ADACHI was listed as three among eight different events recorded in the diary for that day?

I don't think that the prosecutor asked such question.

He didn't call it to your attention, did he?

I did not receive such reminder.

And the prosecution also did not bring to your attention the fact that the first of these items which Marquis KIDO stated in his diary as reported to him of this conversation between IKEDA and KODAMA

3

1

5

4

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

3

4

6

7 8

9

10

12

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

22 23

24

25

gold export situation as existed at that time?

A Nothing whatsoever was mentioned on that

related orimarily and to a good extent on the entire

point. However, I have made the following clear. I have tried to stress that point. At that time I heard the matter in detail from ADACHI, the then Home Minister. That is why I made such a statement. But the prosecutor then said to me that "You say such a thing because you look lightly upon the Manchurian Incident."

Q And he also did not read that statement to you which is as follows, according to the translation submitted by the prosecution: "It has been reported recently -- it might be rumor -- that an embargo on gold export has been levied in England for the second time and that the business cycle has turned for the worse in the United States of America."

THE MONITOR: Mr. Logan, do you have a Japanese copy of it? We had rather get it directly from the document instead of the translation of it. There may be a little discrepancy in that.

IR. LOGAN: No, I don't.

THE MONITOR: We will just give the general meaning of it. We will not be able to quote word for word. All right, thank you.

THE PRESIDENT: If I appreciate the position rightly, you are going beyond re-examination now, Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: Well apparently, your Honor, emphasis was laid yesterday by the prosecution on the order in which this witness testified as to the various events which were happening at the time of the fall of the WAKATSUKI Cabinet. Here is a report which sets them out differently, and the most important thing apparently, from the order in which they are listed, is this conversation between two private individuals as to what was happening, what people were thinking at that time, and this entry is contained in this excerpt that they have marked for identification. However, in order to save time, I will withdraw the question.

Q In 1931 -- at the fime this diary entry was read -- you know that Marquis KIDO was only chief secretary to the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal, do you not?

A I understood that it was so. MR. LOGAN: Thank you.

MR. BROOKS: Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: Crptain Brooks.

MR. BROOKS: I wish to re-examine on behalf of General MINAMI as to the matter of the political views expressed by the War Minister as brought out by the prosecution on cross-examination.

THE PRESIDENT: We allow it with the same intimation as we gave to Mr. Logan.

### REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BROOKS:

In yesterday's cross-examination on page 17,827 of the record, in discussing the HIROTA cabinet, the prosecutor asked if the War Minigter did not express certain political views of the army. I believe, Mr. Witness, that the prosecutor asked you if the Wir Minister didn't frequently express the political views of the army and your answer was "Yes." "ill you explain that further, what political views were expressed?

If I did make -- if I did answer in the way that you said now, I think my answer needed more amplification. The War Minister is the administrative 23 chief of the war department, therefore, he is responsible 24 for the administration of the war ministry -- of the army. Consequently if the "or Minister was expressing

3

2

7 8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17 18

19

22

3

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

political views in general as you say, then he would have to do so in the capacity of a minister of state.

THE MONITOR: He would be doing it in the capacity of a minister of state.

It is believable -- it is only natural that in expressing the political views, reports gathered concerning the army would be included therein.

THE MONITOR: It is natural that in that political expression the War Ministers make, various reports collected within the army be included in that statement.

Do you have anything further to add? Now, going back to the time of the WAKATSUKI cabinet when the defendant MINAMI was War Minister, do you recall any political views that were expressed by MINAMI as War Minister as being army views?

I do not remember many of them; however, I do recall one or two.

THE MONITOR: I do not remember many of them. I do not believe there were many of them but I do remember one or two.

They concerned statement on policies in general -- on general state affairs and then on army

affairs. There was one in particular on affairs inside the army which I now recall. I think it was sometime about August 1931 when at a conference of division chiefs, divis on commanders, it was pointed out that conditions in Mongolia and Manchuria were becoming extremely bad, in view of the activities of Chang Tso-lin, that the operation of the Chang Tso-lin regime against Japanese interests was increasing and that the situation was becoming very aggravated. Therefore, in order to protect our legitimate interests it was decided that due preparations be made -- therefore, General MINAMI continued that in order -- that the army should always be on the watch so that if any action should be necessary in order to protect our legitimate interests such action could be taken. MR. TAVENNER: Your Honor --THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: We submit that the answer and the line of cross-examination goes beyond that contemplated by the Tribunal's ruling.

MR. BROOKS: I agree, your Honor; I am going into a different point.

To refresh your memory on the point that I have in mind I will read from WAKATSUK I's cross-

1

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

examination a statement which embodies that read by the prosecution to you the other day. On page 1571, lines 8 - 25, the question is asked as to the policy of WAKATSUKI's cabinet and he states: "My cabinet had unanimously opposed any expansion by the army on the Manchurian question." Now, Mr. Witness, General MINAMI as War Minister in that cabinet, as is shown further along, was one of those who was unanimous in backing up this cabinet policy. Was that support given to the WAKATSUKI cabinet for the non-expansion of the Manchurian Incident an expression of his personal view or was that what you meant by political view of the army?

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: I object to the question on the ground that it is argumentative, that it calls for an opinion and conclusion, and that it goes beyond the sphere of cross-examination. That is a matter certainly that should have been handled in direct examination.

THE PRESIDENT: All he can be asked is,
"Did the individual say he was expressing his personal
view or that of the army?" This man cannot decide
which although he purported to do so in respect to
others. The prosecution, by the way, brought out his

opinions -- perhaps to test his credit, but they did bring them out.

MR. BROOKS: The WAKATSUKI cabinet was discussed by the prosecution on cross-examination for the first time; that is why I have never asked anything on it before in relation to the point that I am now entering into.

THE WITNESS: May I reply?

THE PRESIDENT: You are not to express an opinion as to whether the Japanese Premier was speaking merely on his own account or for the army. You would not know unless he said so. Did he say so -- the Japanese War Minister?

A I did not hear that directly from anyone.

Q Now, referring to page 1574, lines 5 - 22 of WAKATSUKI's cross-examination, he discusses the responsibility for the operations in Manchuria and the responsibility therefor; and in discussing this matter on line 11, in answer to who was responsible, he said, "I suppose there is some military man responsible for these activities." Then on the next line: "Q. When you say army, does that include "ar Minister MINAMI?" and his answer follows that.

Now, Mr. Witness, is a war minister really considered a part of the army in that sense of military operations?

THE PRESIDENT: That is beyond the scope of the examination in chief. He was not called to testify on the constitutional position. However, the prosecution have not objected, and he may enswer.

A As I stated yesterday concerning the activities of the Army which is outside Japan proper, everything will be controlled by the General Staff and therefore naturally the General Staff must bear the responsibility for any such actions.

BY MR. BROOKS:

Q Now, Mr. Witness, on strategical matters of that type the Army spokesman would not be the War Minister, is that correct?

A That is so.

WAKATSUKI's cross-examination, the Court's attention is directed from line 9 of page 1580 to line 14 on page 1582, wherein --

THE PRESIDENT: This is not re-examination. We all think that.

min. BROOKS: I am going to discuss the coelition cabinet now, your Honor.

THE PAESIDENT: his opinions will have no influence with us. We have heard all we want to hear of his opinions.

10 11

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

20

21

23

24

#### MITARAI

MR. BhOOKS. On these pages, if the Court please, WAKATSUKI says that Home Minister ADACHI approached him for this SEIYUKAI Party on a coalition cabinet, and that is what I wanted to inquire of the witness, as to ADACHI's dispute with MAKATSUKI ever this matter.

THE PRESIDENT. You can bring it out in evidence bearing on matters raised by the cross-examination and, with permission, anything that might have been brought out in examination in chief, but no more. We dislike taking the initiative and rejecting evidence, but our duty is to conduct an expeditious trial as well as a fair one.

examination went into the direct testimony of WAKATSUKI, and I am showing on cross-examination what was brought out that shows it was not the manchurian Incident that caused the collapse, but I am starting now with the coalition cabinet where he discusses the arguments he had with ADACHI, the Home Minister, which confirms the witness' statements, and there are two or three points I wanted to get clear before the Court.

THE PRESIDENT: Lr. Tavenner.

mh. TAVENNER: I desire to make the objec-

1 2

ə **17** 

tion that this witness has not shown any knowledge of the condition or situation to which counsel refers. We think this is in the nature of a direct examination, and it is certainly not proper to use the cross-examination of the witness WAKATSUKI in endeavoring to parade his testimony before the Court.

Honor, of the prosecutor's cross-examination is well enough in your Honor's mind to enswer the prosecutor on that. I have nothing further.

THE PRESIDENT: Did I understand you to say you were going to ask the witness to tell us what ADACHI told him about a matter raised in cross-examination?

MR. BROOKS: I was going to call to the witness' attention where WAKATSUKI had asked the Home Minister ADACHI to stop his negotiations with the SEIYUKAI Party and set up this coalition cabinet, which was the beginning of their dispute, and ask the witness what knowledge he had of that. The witness had testified on direct examination that the quarrel between WAKATSUKI and the Home Minister ADACHI was the primary reason for the fall of the

WAKATSUKI Cabinet.

THE PRESIDENT: What was said in crossexamination about that? That was to you, Captain Brooks.

MR. BROOKS: The prosecutor in discussing with the witness on cross-examination the seven or eight items he had listed made reference to the fact that the Manchurian Incident was mentioned at the end of all and was of minor importance, and then the prosecution read WAKATSUKI's direct examination to show what he thought, that it should be the first and only reason, I suppose. I am trying to show that that was not from WAKATSUKI's cross-examination, that wasn't true.

THE PRESIDENT: As a colleague reminds me, that is not really examination but comment.

The objection is allowed.

MR. BROOKS: I would like to call the Court's attention, then, to page 1583, line 14, page 1584. Here the question was asked:

"Mr. Witness, is it not true that the collapse of your cabinet was caused, not by any actions on the part of the War Minister MINAMI but by the actions of the Home Minister ADACHI?" and the answer was: "The direct cause of the fall

2

.

6

.

10

11

12

13

15 16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

of the cabinet is as you have said."

Q Do you agree with this?

MR. TAVENNER: Your Honor, I take the position that the reading to the witness of this matter is covered by your Honor's former ruling, and we desire to object to the reading of the record on redirect examination in this manner.

THE Phesident: Objection upheld.

MR. BROOKS: If the Court please, on page 1582 there is a discussion of how many times he had telephoned and sent messengers trying to get Home Minister ADACHI to attend the meeting. Since the prosecution has brought in WAKATSUKI's statement on direct examination -- no, on direct examination of WAKATSUKI where they discussed the Manchurian Incident, I thought it was only fair and proper to show that on cross-examination WAKATSUKI also discussed those matters of which the witness has testified as being the most important, and confirms exactly what the wirness says.

THE FREGIDENT: If that confirms what the witness has said, there is no need to get the witness' imprimatur. It is only wasting time. It is a matter of comment.

### MITARAI

MR. BROOKS: If your Honor please, I will not ask any further questions at this time, but I do --THE PRESIDENT: We will recess now for fifteen minutes. (Whereupon, at 1047, a recess was taken until 1100 hours.) 

2

3

8

9

11

12 13

14

16

17 18

19

20

22 23

24

25

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Cartain Brooks.

redirect examination of the witness but I wish to reserve the right to recall this witness for use in the individual phase on behalf of the defendant MINAPI.

MR. TAVENNER: I would like to inquire if there is any further examination to be had by counsel for the defendants -- for the accused.

THE PRESIDENT: Apparently there isn't.

MR. BLEWETT: I see no other attorneys, sir, present for examination.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you any?

MR. TAVENNER: I would like to ask one or two additional cuestions of the witness on matters brought out on redirect examination for the first time.

#### RECROSS EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. TAVENNER:

Q I understood you to state, Mr. MITARAI, that the reports constituting exhibit 2208 were mere statements of police officers. Is that your view?

A That is what I think

THE PRESIDENT: We don't think you are entitled

to ask that question. The examination would be interminable if that sort of thing were allowed.

Honor, but it occurred to me that in as much as this witness has referred to the character of these reports that some information should be brought to the Tribunal's attention regarding their character.

THE PRESIDENT: In cross-examination you brought out that report. In re-examination the defense showed that the report was by what they called petty officers or something like petty officers. That doesn't allow you further cross-examination.

MR. TAVENNER: We have no further questions.

THE PRESIDENT: The witness is released on
the usual terms.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused)

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Blewett.

offer for identification the TOJO interrogatories taken by the prosecution as defense document 626, for the first of February 1946 and the 6th of February 1946. I might explain, sir, that when the first interrogatory was offered by the prosecution the President suggested that the parent document be

7.

introduced.

THE PRESIDENT: Be marked for identification and kept in the custody of the Court.

MR. BLEWETT: That is right, sir. That was allotted No. 1110.

MR. TAVENNER: If your Honor please, I would like to object to the introduction of this excerpt on the following grounds.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, we don't know what it is, Mr. Tayenner. A short statement from Mr. Blewett as to its nature and contents would enable us to follow your objection more clearly.

AR. BLEWETT: There are but two short extracts, sir. One relates to the Army's views with reference to cabinet meetings and the other with regard to the Imperial Conferences and the cabinet.

these excerpts do not relate in any manner to excerpts introduced by the prosecution from TOJO's interrogations. In other words, it isn't a situation in which the prosecution failed to introduce everything relating to a subject in an interrogation. The second objection is that no part of the interrogation for the days mentioned were used by the prosecution in evidence. In that connection we contend that these statements

•

Ī

are not part of a confession and should not be considered in that light for the purpose of introduction here.

matter because you can have a confession extending over one day, so that second ground must be disregarded. The first ground is that these further answers now tendered by the defense are wholly unrelated to those tendered by the prosecution. That is a substantial grounds.

MR. TAVENNER: That is right.

THE PRESIDENT: But we must be satisfied that there is that ground. We must look at the answer tendered by the prosecution and look at the one now tendered and see if there is any connection. If there is none then this evidence must be give in some other way.

MR. TAVENNET: I would like to add in that connection that we have had the record examined for that purpose and I am informed by those who examined it that these matters do not relate to anything introduced in evidence from the interrogation of TOJO.

MR. BLEWETT: Fir, it is my recollection that according to the Charter any statement made by any one of the accused is admissible.

THE PRESIDENT: There is a technical rule,

Ĩ

- 5

I am reminded of it, that statements made by an accused in his own favor are not admissible. There may be a division of opinion among us as to whether that technical rule should or should not be followed. But it won't be very difficult, I suggest, for you to show that after all this answer you are tendering now has some relation to those tendered by the prosecution, judging from my first glance at what you propose to tender. Now, to what particular answer tendered by the prosecution do you say it is relevant? I'R. BLEWETT: 626 A-2, your Honor, I think it is a specific answer to the prosecution's allegation 12 that the Army's views were pressed upon the cabinet. 13 THE PRESIDENT: The Court, by a majority, 14 has decided to admit that evidence. It is admitted 16 on the usual terms. 17 18 19 20 21

23 24

22

3

10

11

15

```
MR. BLETETT: I offer in evidence defense docu-
 2 ment No. 626A-2.
           CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No.
  626A-2 will receive exhibit No. 2347.
                 (Thereupon, the document above re-
       ferred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2347
       and received in evidence.)
           MR. BLEWETT: I shall read exhibit No. 2347:
            "Extract from Interrogation of Hideki TOJO,
  1 February 1946, page 5.
                  Did you urge the /rmy's views on the
            110
11
  cabinet?
12
                   The cabinet meetings were the scene of
13
  a great deal of heated discussion relative to the signing
  of the Three-Power Pact; however, I do not recall that
  there was very much urging of the Army's views in the
  cabinet meetings themselves. However, the Chief of
17
  Staff of course kept the Foreign Minister and Premier
18
  similarly informed. At that time Prince KAN-IN was
  Chief of Staff. Matters of secondary importance were
  transmitted by the Assistant Chief of Staff to the Foreign
  Minister or to the Assistant Foreign Minister."
            "e offer in evidence, if the Tribunal please,
23
24 defense document 626A-5, an extract from the TOJO
  Interrogation of 6 February 1946.
```

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No.

626A-5 will receive exhibit No. 2348.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2348 and received in evidence.)

MR. BLETETT: I shall read exhibit No. 2348:

"A Yes, Imperial Conferences were held with important Ministers of State, depending on the problem to be discussed. In cases involving the prerogative of military command, the Army and Navy Chiefs of Staff also attended; also the President of the Privy Council.

How often were these held during a year?

"A Some years there were none. On years when important matters had to be decided, sometimes there were two or three.

"Q "as there any provision in the constitution or in the laws authorizing these to be held?

"A No.

"O Then they were only a matter of custom?

"A Yes, when some important matter had to be decided. The Imperial Conferences, however, had no responsibility as such. Those who attended, the Chiefs of Staff, the Cabinet Minister, President of the Privy Council, each had responsibility in his capacity of

2

3 4

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Chief of Staff, Cabinet Member, or President of the Privy Council but not in his capacity as a member of the Imperial Conference.

> 110 How long had this custom existed?

For a long time back -- since the Russo-Japanese War. I suppose. It was a gathering of individuals holding positions of responsibility to effect an interchange of views.

> 110 Who called these Imperial Conferences?

They could be requested of the Emperor by one of the Chiefs of Staff, or by the Prime Minister, or by any other Minister of State if the matter were important enough. The Imperial Conferences theoretically had no presiding officer but in fact the Prime Minister acted as presiding officer. Of course matters were not officially decided here. After discussion they were again brought up at the cabinet meeting where official action was taken. The Emperor, although he attended, did not officially approve or disapprove or order the actions taken."

We shall now call as a witness Dr. TAMURA. If the Tribunal please, I have been requested by Japanese counsel to examine this witness.

THE PRESIDENT: Does he speak English very

well?

1	MR. BLEWETT: I think the questions should
2	be put in Japanese, sir.
3	
4	KOSAKU TAMURA, called as a witness on
5	behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn
6	testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:
7	THE PRESIDENT: What is he to testify to,
8	Mr. Blewett?
9	MR. BLEWETT: This witness, if the Court please
10	is going to testify on the basic principles underlying
11	the foreign policy of Japan as set out in the various
12	opening statements.
13	DIRECT EYAMINATION
14	BY MR. BLEWETT:
15	O There were you born and when?
16	A I was born in Yamaguchi Prefecture in the
17	year 1887.
18	O "hat has been your schooling?
19	A I attended the Higher Commercial School of
20	Yamaguchi Prefecture.
21	O That is your profession?
22	A I entered the Foreign Office in the year 1908
23	and I withdrew from the Foreign Office in the year 1925.
24	O That has been your experience?
25	A During that period I was stationed in China,

Great Britain and in European countries.

A Have you written and published any books or articles?

A I studied the diplomatic history of China. I specialized therein and I wrote three books on this subject. For this I was conferred a Doctor of Law degree by the Imperial University in Tokyo in 1908.

A

Have you traveled and studied abroad? G oldbe I did not study at any particular school 2 abroad; however, I was stationed in many foreign countries as Consul General. r g THE MONITOR: I served in many embassies, & legations and consulates. 6 B art Give us briefly a list of the places where you served and the position held? 0 n 9 In China I served as Vice-Consul in Antung and Mukden. In Great Britain I served as Secretary 10 of the Embassy in London. Then I was transferred 11 to Czechoslovakia. There I was in charge of a 12 13 legation for a long time. 14 What years did you serve in the diplomatic 15 or the foreign office? 16 From 1908 to 1925. 17 THE PRESIDENT: Well, he gave us that. 18 Have you written and published a book in 19 the English language? 20 Yes, I did publish a book. 21 What is the title of that book? 22 "The Genesis of the Pacific War." 23 Have you made a detailed study of the foreign 24 policy of Japan? 25 That was my particular field of study.

3

THE PRESIDENT: Here again we expect facts and not opinions, Mr. Blewett.

MR. BLEWETT: I understand, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: It invades the province of the Court if he is going to express opinions on such matters as that.

BY MR. BLEWETT (Continued):

Were there any basic principles underlying the foreign policy of Japan since her entry into the family of modern nations?

MR. COMYNS CARR: We object to that question, your Honor. The witness has not given evidence of any qualifications which would entitle him to express an opinion on that subject, even if an opinion were admissible, which we submit it is not.

THE PRESIDENT: We excluded Mr. Ballantine's opinion; this man is called to testify as to somewhat similar matters, and we excluded him on the application of the defense. If he is merely to state what authoritative declarations have been made on Japanese foreign policy, we will hear them. That does not involve expressing opinions.

MR. BLEWETT: We expect to show that, your Honor; in this general phase we hope through these witnesses to lay the groundwork for testimony that

10

9

12

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

23

may be referred to later, but we thought that the proper and orderly method to do would be to present it now instead of presenting it in various phases later on or individually.

THE PRESIDENT: Examine him to show what information he has about Japanese foreign policy, the sources of that information, but not his conclusions.

MR. BLEWETT: Right, sir.

G Tell us, if you can, briefly the generally accepted concept of equality in Japan?

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, in my submission we cannot have that. If it is accepted
by the accused or by some other persons, and if it
were material, it would have to be proved by them.
To call this witness with his very slender qualifications to answer a question of that kind, in my
submission, is not permissible.

THE PRESIDENT: What puzzles me is how that concept of equality in Japan can be related to Japan's foreign policy?

MR. BLEWETT: I think, sir, that has a very pertinent relationship to the whole Asiatic situation. We might try, sir, and if the witness is going into theories or opinions, why, I shall quickly change and

Y

go to another topic.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, we must decide on the objection and the objection is allowed.

BY MR. BLEWETT (Continued):

Q Do you know, Doctor, of your own knowledge what gave rise to the so-called Gentlemen's Agreement of 1907-8 with the United States?

THE PRESIDENT: State the steps that led up to it.

A The first reaction to the military success of the Japanese during the Russo-Japanese War in Japan and America appeared in 1905 with the persecution of the Japanese in the State of California.

THE MONITOR: Japanese school children.

A (Continuing): However, due to the speedy and adequate measures taken by President Roosevelt, this matter was quickly settled. Appreciating the sympathetic attitude of the President, the Japanese -- as well as earnest desires -- the Japanese Government decided to take the following two steps. The first of these measures was the Gentlemen's Agreement whereby the Japanese voluntarily restricted emigration to America.

THE MONITOR: The number of laborers going to America.

3

2

6

'

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

MR. COMYNE CATR: Now it is becoming manifest

witness is contravening the ruling which the Tribunal has given.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, he could have made a

that the witness is, in my submission, that the

number of statements of fact. I hate to paraphrase what he said, but he could have said this:

After the Russo-Japanese War Californian

schools persecuted Japanese children. The President of the United States intervened. The persecution stopped. Japan took certain measures. All are statements of fact but repetitive. We have heard all this before. At least we have heard about the Gentlemen's Agreement.

MR. CCMYNS CARR: Your Honor, that raises the question whether the facts of which he has been talking are in any way material to his inquiry; and, secondly, whether, if they are, this witness has any qualification at all for proving them.

THE PRESIDENT: Some of the events occurred before his time in the Foreign Office, but others fell within his time there. It is difficult to disqualify him from stating a series of simple facts. We do not want his opinions.

MR. COMYNS CARR: But, your Honor, in my

submission, if he was in California, he could tell
us about the alleged persecution of school children;
but a junior clerk in the Foreign Office has no
particular means of knowing those facts.

THE PRESIDENT: If the information is rele-

THE PRESIDENT: If the information is relevant, I think we must get it from such sources as this. We can hardly go to California for school teachers and others.

BY MA. BLEWLTT (Continued):

Were ary laws passed by Pacific Coast states affecting Japanese citizens between 1908 and 1920?

A Before that, I wish to touch on the second measures adopted by the Japanese. I have only explained what measures were first taken.

THE MONITOR: And I believe the second measure is also relevant to this inquiry.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, in my submission, if it is material to know about laws passed
in California in 1907, the laws must be produced and
the document must be served upon us in the ordinary
way, and this witness' evidence would then become
unnecessary.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, the charter admits of a more reasonable attitude than that.

A (Continuing): The second measure was in

9

1

2

3

5

7

12

11

14

16

15

17 18

19

20

22

23 24

response to the attitude of the President, namely, the Japanese restricted the number of Japanese labor emigrants to the United States and these emigrants were sent to Korea and Manchuria instead. That is to say, I wish to point out that there is a very close relation between the Japanese going to the Asiatic continent and the emigration -- the problem of emigration to the United States.

THE PRESIDENT: He is expressing opinions now. All we will permit him to say -- give us is a chronological order of events.

THE MONITOR: Before that the witness stated:
"My impression was that I was giving an interpretation."
BY MR. BLEWETT (Continued):

Q Did the Immigration Act of the United States in 1924 affect in any way the economic institutions of Japan?

THE PRESIDENT: We cannot allow you to go any further in this matter than we allowed Mr. Liebert to go. You have every liberty the prosecution had.

BY MR. BLEWETT (Continued):

Can you tell us --

THE PRESIDENT: I don't know that he is as qualified as Mr. Liebert. He does not profess to have any qualifications as an economist. Mr. Liebert

1	
	had. This is a province of a professor of economics
	or somebody like that.
	What was meant by security in Japan?
	THE PR SIDENT: These are opinions, Mr.
	Blewett.
	MR. BLEWETT: This man, sir, has made a
	study of the internal affairs of Japan in connection
	with foreign relations, and it would seem to us that
	it would be helpful to show the general situation
	at that period of time around between 1910 and 1925.
	Was the question of restriction of immi-
	gration discussed at the Foreign Office and did
	you participate in any of these discussions?
	THE PRESIDENT: We did allow Mr. Ballantine
	to give conversations at the Foreign Office.
	A I did not participate in any such conferences.
	Q What has been the source of your information
	with respect to the foreign affairs of Japan?
	A I was merely a student of history.
	THE MONITOR: Not "merely."
	A I am a student of history and I made my study
	through diplomatic documents and other material which
	historians usually use for that purpose. I, myself,
	did not participate in such conferences.

Q From whence did you derive your material and

what study and irvestigation did you make in compiling your book ontitled "The Genesis of the Pacific War"?

A For the rost part I gathered my material from diplomatic documents published in the United States of America and material gathered by American scholars to which I could not directly have access.

THE MITTOR: In regard to documents to which I had no direct access.

Q Do you know whether or not the Shimonoseki Treaty affected in any way the Japanese position as to the question of security?

IR. CCMYNE CARR: Your Honor, in my submission, it is manifest from his previous answers that he knows nothing about it except from studying documents which, if they are of any materiality, should be produced.

THE PRESIDENT: And the answer would involve an opinion. The objection is allowed.

We will adjourn until half-past one.

(Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken.)

### AFTERNOON SESSION

Duda & Whale

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International

Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

KOSAKU TAMURA, a witness called in behalf

of the defense, resumed the stand, and testified

through Japanese interpreters as follows:

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Blewett.

Mh. BLEWETT: If the Court please, I recall that this morning I made an offer of a document for identification only, being No. 626, which is the parent document, sir, including all the interrogatories of General TOJO. Perhaps, sir, I should limit these to the two days from which I quoted -- although we may quote hereafter from the document; and it shall all be numbered 626 for the series.

When the prosecution introduced their extracts they did not follow the usual identification number, but were given separate numbers. So perhaps, sir, it might be advisable to offer each separate day for identification and the excerpts from that which have already been marked.

THE PRESIDENT: Perhaps it would. It would be more convenient I think.

9

2

4

5

12

11

14

15

17

18 19

20

21

23

only.

MA. BLEWETT: Then I shall offer for identification the interrogatory of 1 February 1946.

CLERK OF THE COURT: The interrogatory for
1 February 1946 of Hideki TOJO from document 626

will be given exhibit No. 2349 for identification

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense document No. 2349 for identification.)

MR. BLEWETT: We offer for identification only document 626, an extract from the interrogation of 6 February 1946.

CLERK OF THE COURT: The interrogation for 6 February 1946 will be given exhibit No. 2350 for identification only.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2350 for identification.)

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BLEWETT (Continued):

Q Doctor, what other study or investigation did you make in the compiling of this book?

A The study of the diplomatic history of the Far East has been my lifelong work, and I have been collecting materials for this work for the past

2

4

.

10

11

13

14

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

7 8

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

twenty years.

What work are you now engaged in?

I am continuing my study of history with the intention of remaining an historian for the rest of my life.

How many years altogether have you been engaged in this special work?

Since 1925 when I left the Foreign Office I have devoted myself heart and soul to this work.

Mr. BLEWETT: If your Honor please, I think there is some misapprehension which no doubt may be my fault or the witness' in our qualifications. was not my intent to indicate that the witness was an expert or had any wide knowledge of the Foreign Office or foreign affairs as such. My purpose is to qualify him as an expert in Asiatic diplomatic affairs, and we hope to show some of the background in laying the groundwork, as I say, for the Pacific phase which will come later.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any recognized Japanese historian in respect of those events over that poriod?

MR. BLEWETT: Sir, as this witness implied, this genesis of the Pacific is the history from earliest times, around from 1900 down to the Pacific War,

showing the reasons and the changes in Japanese life during all that period of time.

THE PRESIDENT: But he hasn't written any standard work. He is merely trying to qualify as an historian.

MA. BLEWETT: Sir, he has published three books, one in English which is of widespread circulation, and he is now at work revising it with the hope that it may be published in america and Europe.

THE PRESIDENT: Is he acknowledged as an historian, that is all I want to know, by the Japanese people?

Mi. BLEWETT: He was produced, sir, by the Japanese counsel as an expert on the history and the diplomacy of the Far East.

THE PRESIDENT: If the prosecution questions his qualifications, they are at liberty to crossexamine him forthwith.

Mri Comyns Carri

23

24

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

#### CROSS - EXAMINATION

BY MR. COMYNS CARR:

Q Dr. TAMURA, since you left the Foreign Office in 1925, have you followed any profession?

A While continuing my researches, I contributed frequently to magazines and newspapers as a publicist and also for over ten years was an editorial adviser to the magazine "Diplomatic Review," besides conducting my studies. I was given the degree Doctor of Law from the Tokyo Imperial University for my work on the -- for my book on the diplomatic history of the Far East.

Q When was that published?

A I published three volumes altogether between 1934 and 1939.

Q Did those include the book in English that we have heard about?

A Not, it was not included among those.

Q When was that published?

A I believe it was in 1943.

Q Did you publish that yourself or did the Japanese Government assist you to publish it?

A There was at the time an association known as the Taiheiyo Association or the Pacific Association, and this association published this book.

Q Was it used during the war for propaganda

purposes?

As author, I know nothing about that.

3

And when did you take your degree that you have spoken of from the Tokyo University?

A It was in 1940.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, I submit that

but it may be sufficient.

majority decision.

7

those answers do not qualify him to speak either as an expert on diplomacy from experience or as a person

stating facts which can be accepted without examination

on facts but we won't accept his opinions. That is a

course, the ruling of the Tribunal, we would neverthe-

less like to submit that statements of fact by him are

not necessarily to be accepted as true unless it is

shown that he either knows them of his own knowledge

THE PRESIDENT: Well, his claim may be slender

The original ruling stands. We will hear him

IR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, accepting, of

or question.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE PRESIDENT: Their probative value will, of course, depend upon that.

MR. BLEWETT: Sir, the witness was prepared for questioning on the basis of an expert. I should

or produces the sources of them.

3

,

. 7

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

like the privilege to recall him--or to remove him at the present time with the privilege of recalling him later if expedient to do so.

I should have made clear, your Honor, that our interrogatories were prepared, not the witness; our questions were prepared along the line of an expert.

THE PRESIDENT: He will stand down for the time being.

IR. BLEWETT: Thank you, sir.

I have the honor to present to the Tribunal,
Samuel A. Roberts, Esq., of the Bar of the City of
New York, American counsel for the accused OKA, who
will present the next portion of this subdivision with
Mr. SAKUMA, counsel for Mr. SHIRATORI.

THE PRESIDENT: The witness will stand down, I said.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.

MR. ROBERTS: We now present evidence to explain the origin and true meaning of the phrase "hakko ichiu" and its interpretation by the accused and the Japanese nation, in order to refute the characterization placed upon it by the prosecution.

We call the witness INOUE, Takamaro.

TAKAMARO INOUE, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. ROBERTS:

What is your occupation?

A I have no occupation -- I have no profession.

However, at present I am continuing my research

of the constitutional history of Japan.

Q Will you please give us your background, briefly?

A By that do you mean my personal history?

Q Yes, briefly.

A I was born in Hirado, of Nagasaki Prefecture, and in 1917 I graduated from the law college of Tokyo Imperial University. I then entered into the graduate institute of that university, and continued my study of the constitution and of administrative law, subsequently becoming an assistant at that university.

Spratt & Yelden

THE PRESIDENT: Some of us did not hear what his name is.

THE WITNESS: My name is INOUE, Takamaro.

After resigning as assistant at the Tokyo

Imperial University I became a professor at Hosei

University.

Q Thereafter what occupation did you have?

A Subsequently in 1926 I was ordered to do research work in various countries in Europe and America in order to study their respective constitutions.

Q Thereafter what position did you assume?

A In 1928 I was appointed professor at the Taihoku Imperial University and assumed the chair of the constitution. I remained at this post until the end of 1940 -- until the end of 1935. In January of the following year I was appointed a research worker in the National Spiritual-Cultural Research Institute. Subsequently by an administrative change the name of this institute was changed to the "Institute for Research in Culture and Education," and I became a research worker at that institute. I retired from that post in July 1944 and since then have been living as a retired man although continuing my study of constitutional law.

Q Have you engaged in research work in any other subject or subjects?

My direct object of study was the Imperial constitution, that is, the constitution that is in force at present; but since this constitution was not a revolutionary one formed by a complete breakage with old traditions it is a constitution that has put into writing unwritten laws which have existed from the time of the founding of our empire. Therefore, in order to study the constitution itself I had to study the unwritten laws which formed a kind of unwritten constitution which has been handed down to us from time immemorial and, therefore, I had to study the old customs and the old traditions of our empire. Besides this I conducted a comparative analysis of the constitutions of European and American countries as well as of China -- of Europe, America and China. reason for this -- for my making this comparative analysis was that the Japanese constitution was not merely a constitution that put into writing the former unwritten laws of our country. It took up many -- it embodied many of the good points of the modern democracies of Europe and America and of constitutional government.

Q Does it also embody the history of Japan?

2

1

4

7

0

10

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

That goes without saying. Are you familiar with the expression 2 "hakko ichiu"? 3 A Yes, I am familiar with that expression. When did it originate? I believe that the expression, that the 7 phrase "hakko ichiu" itself, is of comparatively 8 recent origin, but the ideals connected with this 9 expression go back a long way. There is a history 10 known as the "Nihon Shoki" or the -- there is a 11 book known as the "Nihon Shoki" which is a history of 12 ancient Japan and in this book an Imperial Rescript 13 by the Emperor JIMMU is quoted. In this Imperial 14 Rescript we find the words, "Let us cover the universe 15 and make it our home." 16 Q What is the approximate date of the Rescript 17 that you refer to? 18 According to Western count it is related that 19 it was written, that it was promulgated about the 20 middle of the seventh century, B.C. 21 Will you please tell us its connotation or 22 meaning? 23 Before I go on to the meaning there is one 24

point which I forgot to mention. This expression,

"hakko ichiu" is a contraction of the old Yamato

phraseology, "Amenoshita-o-oite-iye-to-nasu." There have been some scholars who have argued that "hakko ichiu" is not a good translation of this ancient word and that it should rather be translated "hakko yuu"; but, be that as it may, the wording itself is not of such great importance. It is the meaning that they contain.

Q Fas the word borrowed from any other language or peoples? 2 A Before replying to that question may I reply 3 to your previous question, as I have not yet replied 5 in any great detail to that question? Q You may do so. 6 7 A That is concerning the meaning of this 8 expression. "Hakko" : moans the universe; "ishiu" means house. That is the meaning of the term itself. 9 10 I shall next go on to the meaning of the phrase as a 11 whole. It is the expression of the desire and ideal 12 of constructing a world in which all men would be 13 brothers and would have toward each other brotherly 14 love. Does that answer your question regarding the 15 meaning of the words? 16 Q Yes. Will you please tell us the history 17 and development of the word and the phrase? 18 May I leave out my answer to your previous 19 questions, then, concerning the various foreign coun-20 tries? 21 Q No, I would like for you to tell us from 22 what language or peoples the word has been borrowed. 23 THE PRESIDENT: Hr. Tavenner. 24 MR. TAVENNER: If your Honor please, it seems

there should be some limit to this type of examination.

The origin of the phrase or from what country the phrase was taken surely can have no bearing upon issues involved here, and we object.

phrases is generally taken from standard dictionaries of the particular country. This man has not yet quoted a dictionary, nor is he a lexicographer. His claim to be an historian is a very faint one; his claim to be a constitutional lawyer is a considerable one, but constitutions are instruments of government. Now, let this man tell us, if he can, what dictionaries he refers to; let him produce the dictionaries; what histories; let him produce the histories. The prosecution are not objecting to his giving evidence, but only to the range of evidence. Let him produce his dictionaries and his histories. That will shorten the evidence and be more convincing if it is important.

MR. ROBERTS: If it please the Court, the witness has stated that in order to study and understand the constitution it was necessary for him to study Japanese history.

THE PRESIDENT: Let him produce a Japanese constitutional history or a book on Japanese constitutional law where the phrase is dealt with. Let him produce a dictionary where the phrase is defined. And

we do not forget that the material thing is not what the actual meaning was, but what meaning the accused or some of them may have attempted to give it.

IR. ROBERTS: May I suggest, then, that the Court accept his testimony subject to connection for the purpose that you have expressed. This testimony is simply a foundation for the explanation of the use of that word by the accused and other government officials.

THE PRESIDENT: Let him produce his authority, as all experts are required to do; that will shorten the evidence. We do not wish to sit here for hours listening to this man talking all around the compass without coming to an authority.

BY MR. ROBERTS (Continuing):

Q Mr. Witness, will you tell us the authority that you have and the authorities that you have studied concerning your definition of the expression "hakko ichiu"?

A This question is an almost self-evident question to Japanese, and I do not believe it is necessary to state specifically what book -- in what book one will find such an expression because it is found almost in every book, and it is a matter

25

which belongs to the domain of common sense for us Japanese. THE PRESIDENT: That is most unconvincing. 3 4 You will still produce your authorities, if you have them. Your dictioneries should cover the term. THE WITNESS: I do not have the dictionary 7 here now, but it can be presented at any time. THE PRESIDENT: If it has any historical 9 significance your history should deal with it. It 10 can hardly be a part of any instrument of government 11 such as a constitution. 12 MR. ROBERTS: I believe the witness has 13 tried to make clear that in studying the constitu-14 tion it was necessary to study Japanese law, and 15 thereby he became familiar with the expression 16 "hakko ichiu" and its meaning and use. 17 THE PRESIDENT: Not Japanese law. He said 18 Japanese history. Let him produce the history. 19 In other words, let him show us the history he 20 studied. 21 Can you tell us, Mr. Witness, the history 22 that you have studied in order to become familiar 23 with the meaning and use of the phrase "hakko ichiu"?

I did not gain my knowledge from one book

alone but from many books which I studied over a

long period of time. If it should be necessary I can present these at any time.

THE PRESIDENT: Two or three leading histories dealing with the meaning of the word may be very convincing; so, also, two or three recognized Japanese dictionaries.

THE WITNESS: I understand well.

MR. ROPERTS: We have no doubt that the word is contained and defined in Japanese history books and also in dictionaries, but we are attempting to save the time of the Court in not presenting all this voluminous — these voluminous documents.

THE PRECIDENT: Probably the whole subject could be dealt with in two or three pages of the history or one page of a dictionary.

IR. ROBERTS: I have no doubt of that, but your Honor understands that this is simply a foundation for later questions.

.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

THE PRESIDENT: You may be stating the fact, but it is very difficult for us to see why you cannot now grip our interest by producing a history or a dictionary.

MR. ROBERTS: We offer in evidence rt this time --

THE PRESIDENT: I suggest that you withdraw your witness and prepare him to deal with the
matter in accordance with the wishes of the Tribunal,
that is, on the basis of histories which he will
quote and dictionaries which he will quote, and, if
there be such things, constitutional histories dealing with the phrase. He can stand down as the last
witness did.

Tribunal, I may be able to save time by introducing at this time a document which will explain the derivation and meaning of the phrase hakko ichiu according to the interpretation of a scholar who holds a chair of Chinese Classics at Waseda University. I ask for defense document 2322.

THE PRESIDENT. That may be just as objectionable as this witness' efforts. We want standard works, dictionaries or histories or constitutional. histories.

Mk. ROBERTS. This document, if the Court please, cites the authorities for the information contained therein.

THE PRESIDENT: If it correctly does so it should have some value.

MR. ROBERTS: I offer in evidence defense document 198 for that purpose.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If it please your Honor, this document seems to be an effidevit, and the jurat shows that it was signed on becamber 16 in Tokyo. It would appear that such an affidavit could not be presented through this witness, but that the man who made it should appear here and give his testimony.

THE PRESIDENT: I don't think it improves the position in the least. We should have the authorities. We can get them from this man. He has the necessary qualifications to produce the authorities.

Perhaps you won't press the admission of this affidavit at this stage, Mr. Hoberts.

I think that it will help to save time once we hear what is contained in the effidevit.

THE PRESIDENT: You are not intending to 1 out it in through this witness, are you? 2 MR. ROBERTS: No, I do not. THE PR SIDENT: You agree that he should 5 stand down? MR. ROBERTS: Not at this time, if the 7 Court will so please. I would like to read the affidavit and then question the witness as to his source and the sources mentioned in this affidavit. 10 The reason I say that --11 THE PRESIDENT: You might do that if this 12 was an affadavit already tendered by the prosecution. 13 MR. ROBERT: The reason I say that is because 14 this refers to an authentic record of Japanese history 15 and it may be the same source that this witness can 16 refer to and state that he has read and is quoting 17 from. 18 THE PRESIDENT: Obviously this affidavit you 19 are tendering now cannot be used in that way. 20 objection is upheld. Why not ask this man what his 21 authorities are? 22 BY MR. ROBERTS (Continued): 23 24

Q Mr. Witness, what are your authorities for the information you have given on the meaning of "hakko ichiu"?

The original document is the "Nihon Shoki." And can you tell us about how far back 2 that document extends? I believe this book was completed around 4 the year 720 A. D. The work on this history was 5 begun several years before that, but it was completed 6 around the year 720 A. D. Q Is it an authentic record of Japanese history? 8 It is a book that was authentically 9 published -- authentically compiled. 10 And is it the source of your authority and your definitions? 12 13 A Yes. 14 THE PRESIDENT: I think we are all agreed 15 that the question is not so much the meaning that 16 it may have been given in authorities but the meaning 17 that it was given by the accused or some of them; 18 but let us hear the authorities at reasonable length. 19 BY MA. AOBERTS (Continued): 20 Will you give us a further list of authori-21 ties that you have consulted? 22 There are various books which have inter-23 preted the "Nihon Shoki" -- there are various com-

Can you tell us the names, please?

mentaries on the "Nihon Shoki".

A There is a book by -- among the older commentaries by IIDA, Bukyo, called "The Interpretation of the 'Nihon Shoki'". Q Any others? A There is a book on the 'Nihon Shoki" by SAIKI, Yogi. THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes. (Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the pro-ceedings were resumed as follows:) 

Morse & wolf

4

6

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. ROBERTS (Continued):

n Will you continue giving us the list of books that you have consulted as your authority?

THE PRESIDENT: It will not impress us very much if he gives a long list of authorities and just says what they mean. Let him quote from one or two leading authorities.

MR. ROBERTS: I withdraw the last question then.

- O Mr. Witness, can you tell us what you consider the leading authority in Japan on thephrase that you have defined?
- A The books that I listed before the recess are books which I consider to be authoritative on this subject.
- O I ask you about your reference to the Nihon
  Shoki. Is that considered a leading authority in Japanese
  history?
  - A Yes.
- Q Can you quote a definition from that authority on the meaning of the phrase 'hakko ichiu"?
  - A Yes.
  - o "ill you do so, please.

THE PRESIDENT: Edition, volume and page; produce it for identification.

M
0
r
S
e
&
W
0
1
f

6

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.

# DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. ROBERTS (Continued):

o will you continue giving us the list of books that you have consulted as your authority?

THE PRESIDENT: It will not impress us very much if he gives a long list of authorities and just says what they mean. Let him quote from one or two leading authorities.

MR. ROBERTS: I withdraw the last question then.

O Mr. Witness, can you tell us what you consider the leading authority in Japan on thephrase that you have defined?

A The books that I listed before the recess are books which I consider to be authoritative on this subject.

O I ask you about your reference to the Nihon Shoki. Is that considered a leading authority in Japanese history?

A Yes.

Q Can you quote a definition from that authority on the meaning of the phrase hakko ichiu"?

A Yes.

o "ill you do so, please.

THE PRESIDENT: Edition, volume and page; produce it for identification.

	MR. TAVENNER: Your Honor, I think that another
1 2	preliminary question should also be asked in regard to
	the authority, and that is the date of its publication
3	THE PRESIDENT: That will appear on the book
5	when it is produced, I take it; but what is the date?
6	MR. ROBERTS: I believe the witness has already
7	stated the date of publication.
8	BY MR. ROBERTS (Continued):
9	Q Mr. Witness, will you give us again the date
= 1	of the publication of the history you refer to as Nihon
1	Shok1?
2	A 720 A.D.
3	O Is that history in use up to the present time?
4	THE PRESIDENT: It is not the history, it is
5	the date of the promulgation or the statement we have
6	
7	MR. TAVENNER: Your Honor, pardon the interruption
8	If your Honor please, I understood the witness
9	to say the date of the history was 720 A.D.
0	[본드: 12] [[경영자] [경영화] (고양화(요리 오토) [[경조] (고양하다 (양화(양화(양화(양화(양화(양화(양화(양화(양화(양화(양화(양화(양화(
1	matter much.
2	MR. TAVENNER: I desire to object to a definition
3	
4	in 720 A.D. as being a correct interpretation of the use of a phrase in 1940.
	use of a phrase in 1940.

MR. ROBERTS: I believe the witness should be

permitted the opportunity to make clear his statement.

THE PRESIDENT: It may be the meaning has been constant up to modern times.

BY MR. ROBERTS (Continued):

Q Has the meaning been constant up to the present time, Mr. Witness?

A As you say.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, do produce this book that he studied at the university showing the meaning of this phrase and shorten the proceedings. You are going to give us everything but the books. Give us the books. We all want them. We insist on them; not all of them, but two or three of the leading authorities.

MR. ROBERTS: It was our impression that the proof could be presented in oral fashion as well as by the use of books. It seems to be a phrase which has been in use in Japan for many years and anyone having studied its history in any connection should almost be an authority upon it. However, if the Court insists on having the book here, we shall have the witness excused for the time being until we can obtain the book.

THE PRESIDENT: The attitude on this question of the defense is causing us some concern. It is by no means impressive. These things must be learned from books. Produce the books; that is all we are asking.

7 8

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, may I say a word, if the Court please. With respect to our method of procedure here, we deem it just as proper to produce expert witnesses who have made a study of these subjects to testify orally.

THE PRESIDENT: And we will allow him to do so despite an objection by the prosecution.

MR. LOGAN: In proceeding in this manner, if anything any of these witnesses say is contrary to any facts as known by the prosecution by means of any books, they can bring that out on cross-examination.

THE PRESIDENT: It is only fair to the defense, Mr. Logan, that you should know just what the Tribunal requires.

MR. LOGAN: I appreciate that, your Honor. We will try to comply with it. I was just trying to explain our position; why we were proceeding the way we were doing. But, with respect to this particular phrase, it is my understanding it cannot be found in any Japanese dictionary. It is a custom. It is something that has grown up with the Japanese and their history and the word itself, I understand, has gone through various gyrations but the true meaning behind it has been constant through all these years. It isn't something that was incorporated, or enacted, or brought about by

HAN THE

these accused. That we intend to show is its meaning has remained constant and was so used, and intended to be so used by these accused and we thought this was a proper way of doing it.

3.

THE PRESIDENT: This is the first time that we have heard that that expression is not to be found in recognized works.

MR. LOGAN: I said, your Honor, dictionary; not recognized works.

THE PRESIDENT: We haven't confined you to dictionaries, Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: I appreciate that, but your Honor mentioned a dictionary. I was just calling to your attention that it isn't to be found in a dictionary. It has been interpreted in various recognized works and this man is an expert and he is giving the result of his years of experience with reference to the meaning of the words.

THE PRESIDENT: I mentioned dictionaries, histories, constitutional histories in that order.

Now it isn't something that has been handed down from generation to generation by word of mouth only and never reduced to print. Do let us see the thing dealt with in some book.

MR. LOGAN: I appreciate that, your Honor.

We will try to do that. But I was just mentioning
our method of presenting the proof. We thought this
would be the proper way of doing it, by presenting
a witness who has really studied the subject and knows

it, but if you want the books we will get the books.

MR. ROBERTS: We, of course, ask leave to recall this witness at the time the books are produced in Court.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, you want to stand him down. He may stand down and be recalled again and be prepared to speak from authorities.

MR. ROBERTS: That will be satisfactory.

MR. ROBERTS: That will be satisfactory.

THE PRESIDENT: He is released on the usual terms.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused)

MR. ROBERTS: We now offer evidence through witnesses and documents concerning the formation of the Greater East Asia Co-Prosperity sphere showing that the Greater East Asia Conference was promoted by countries other than Japan and that the attendance of legal representatives of other countries was wholly voluntary on their part.

We call the witness YAMAMOTO Kumaichi.

KUMAICHI YAMAMOTO, called as a 1 witness on behalf of the defense, being 2 first duly sworn, testifed through Japanese 3 interpreters as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. ROBERTS: 6 What is your occupation? I am without occupation. 8 Do that mean that you are retired at the Q 9 present time? 10 A Yes. 11 since when have you been retired? 12 Q 13 July, 1946. What was your occupation prior to July, 1946? 14 Q 15 In June 1944 I was appointed envoy extra-16 ordinary and ambassadore plenipotentiary and was 17 stationed in Thailand. 18 THE INTERPRETER: Correction: August 19 1944. 20 Were you previously in government service? 21 I was an official in the Foreign Office for 22 twenty-six years. 23 Please state the various positions you have

24

25

held with the government and the dates thereof.

A In 1920 I became a clerk in the Foreign

Office. In 1926 I was appointed secretary of the embassy in Turkey. I returned to the Foreign Office subsequently and in 1930 was appointed secretary in the embassy at London. In 1934 I was transferred to the embassy in Manchuria -- in Manchukuo.

THE MONITOR: As a secretary.

A (Continued) In 1938 I became a secretary in the Foreign Office. In 1939 I became the director of the Commerce Bureau of the Foreign Office. In 1940 I became director of the East Asia Bureau of the Foreign Office. In 1941 I became concurrently director of the American Bureau of the Foreign Office. In 1942 I became Foreign Vice-Minister. In November 1942 I became Vice-Minister for Greater East Asiatic Affairs. And in 1944 I was appointed ambassadore to Thailand.

THE INTERPRETER: I became Vice-Minister of the Greater East Asia Affairs Ministry.

Q What were your duties as Vice-Minister of the Greater East Asia Affairs Ministry?

A I was in charge of relations with the various countries of Greater East Asia other than purely ciplomatic relations; that is to say, political, economic and cultural relations.

Q Were you familiar with the circumstances

Greenberg & Barton

concerning the organization of the Greater East Asia Conference which was held in November, 1943?

A As I was in charge of the business connected with the holding of that Conference in my capacity of Vice-Minister of Greater East Asia Affairs, I am well acquainted with the events leading up to the conference.

Q When, for the first time, was this conference discussed?

A In 1943 Premier TOJO made a tour of the southern regions. I accompanied him on this tour. At the time, both Premier TOJO and myself felt strongly that one of the most important things in establishing a new order in East Asia would be to give the peoples of Greater East Asia an opportunity to freely exchange their opinions and thus to strengthen the cooperation among them. I recollect that the same opinions were voiced by the leaders and representatives of the various peoples of Greater East Asia with whom I came in contact during that tour. I believe that that was the direct reason for the calling of the Greater East Asia Conference.

Q What countries did you visit on your tour?

A I visited the Philippines, Thailand, Malaya, Sumatra, Java and Borneo.

Q To whom did you speak in the Philippines?

A I recollect that I met, first of all, Dr.
Laurel, Mr. Recto, Mr. Vargas, Mr. Aquino and Mr.
Aquino, who were the leading representatives of the
Philippine people at the time.
Q Did you at that time discuss the idea of a
common meeting?
A There was a free interchange of opinions
between the Japanese and Philippine representatives.
I remember that Dr. Laurel said that it would be de-
sirable that some means be worked out for insuring
a direct liaison between their side and Central Japan-
exe authorities in Tokyo.
Q Will you tell us the respective offices
held by the men's names that you mentioned?
A I believe that these people were members of
the Preparatory Committee for Independence which was
functioning at that time.
Q Do you recall their respective titles?
A I am sorry, I don't remember.
Q To whom did you speak in Thailand on this
visit in July, 1943?
A I had frequent opportunities of meeting the
Regent Prince Adit and Mr. Prasert, as well as the

Prime Minister, Marshal Pibul, Foreign Minister

Wichit and other important personages.

Did you discuss the idea of a common meeting with the Foreign Minister, Mr. Bisito? 2 I do not recollect whether I discussed the 3 question of a conference with Foreign Minister Wichit. But, however, since the Foreign Minister was also a 5 notable leader of a cultural movement in Thailand, 6 I do remember that we discussed means of strengthen-7 8 ing liaison and cooperation between the two countries 9 through cultural exchanges. 10 Do you recall how many conferences you had 11 in Thailand? 12 I do not remember exactly, but I did meet 13 him on several occasions at banquets, and so forth, 14 besides the formal corfersations which I had with 15 him. 16 You are referring now to the Foreign 17 Minister, Mr. Bisito? 18 Yes. 19 Did you also have occasion to see Prince 20 Wan-wai? 21 I believe I met him on two or three occasions 22 at banquets and at other occasions. 23 Will you tell us what he had to say about 24 common meetings or anything else? 25

Prince Wan-wai was the senior -- was a leader

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TOJO and yourself?

in diplomatic circles in Thailand. I remember that he said that, in order to increase cooperation between the two countries, opportunities should be had for a free interchange of opinions. I believe you mentioned the name of a third person. May I have that name again, please? That is Prime Minister Pibul: On how many occasions would you say you spoke to the Prime Minister? I believe I was able to see him -- I was able to talk to him on two or three occasions. Will you tell us what he said, please? Q I recall that the Prime Minister also stressed that, in order to have closer ties between Japan and Thailand, it was necessary that each side take not an attitude of pushing things onto each other but a close and intimate exchange of ideas. Did these men freely voice their opinions to you and Mr. TOJO? I can still see in my mind's eye those people freely expressing their opinions to us in a most friendly manner. Did they also express their opinions on any

questions which were opposed to the opinions of Mr.

A There were no basic differences in opinion.

However, on details of proposed cultural exchanges,
of the time when these proposed exchanges were to be
held, and so forth, I believe there were small differences of opinion.

Q Did you visit the representatives of Burma
on your trip in July, 1943?

A I remember that in Singapore we met Mr.

Ba-Mo of Burma who came there -- who flew there from

mainly for the purpose of seeing TOJO.

Q So that he came all the way from Burma to

Burma mainly for the purpose of sneaking to TOJO --

A Yes.

Q What did Mr. Ba-Mo say at the time?

Singapore to see yourself and Mr. TOJO?

A Premier TOJO told me of the conversation he had with Ba-Mo directly after that conversation. It seems that in the beginning, after reporting on conditions in Burma, Ba-Mo expressed his dissatisfaction at the way the Japanese Army was handling the occupation of Burma, at great length.

Q Was anything done about this objection at the time?

A The Prime Minister frankly accepted what Ba-Mo had to say and telling him that he, himself,

3

5

8

10

11

12

14

15

17

19

20

21 22

23

25

would give strict instructions to the authorities -to the Japanese authorities on the spot, urged Mr.
Ba-Mo to continue to redouble his efforts with unabated enthusiasm for the independence of Burma,

Q What instructions are you referring to?

A Premier TOJO made it a practice to give instructions to Japanese Ermy authorities on the spot
wherever he happened to stop in the course of his
tour; and in these instructions he would tell them to
respect the opinion of the natives and to take a true,
fatherly attitude toward them. In his instructions to
the Japanese Army authorities in Burma he took up many
suggestions which Ba-Mo had made and gave them similar
instructions.

Q Was anything said at this time about a common meeting of all the Asiatic countries?

A Ba-Mo, because he was so very dissatisfied with the actions of the Japanese Army in Burma, was all the more anxious to have direct contact with Central Japanese authorities, and also urged the need for stronger and friendlier relations with neighboring peoples; for instance, Thailand.

What was the official title held by Mr. Ba-Mo at that time?

A I do not remember very well. However, I do

recollect that he was the head of some kind of organization set up to prepare for independence.

Q Did you ever discuss the idea of a common meeting with a representative of China?

A I do not remember having talked with Chinese on any concrete measures to be taken in this connection. However, I do remember that in 1940, when Wan Ching-wei came to Japan, I headed the welcome committee, and in this capacity I talked with him on the desirability of such a conference.

Q What did he have to say?

A His idea was that Asiatic peoples must cooperate with each other in order that they may enjoy a common prosperity. He was firmly convinced that Japan and China must stop their hostile relationship and must work out a common basis on which to establish a mutual prosperity.

Q Coming now down to the actual conference, were you present at all the meetings of the conference?

A Yes, I was present at all these conferences. However, I did not attend the meetings of the Secretariat.

Q Were you present at the conference at the time speeches were delivered by the delegates?

A Yes.

2

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

22

24

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Did all the delegates speak from prepared addresses -- I mean prepared in advance? Not necessarily. Can you tell us specifically who did and who did not, if you know? A Dr. Laurel, Mr. Ba-Mo, and Mr. Chandra Bose spoke very freely and very frankly without any prepared text. Q Were you also present when the joint declaration was adopted? A Yes. Was there any discussion before the declaration was adopted? A Concerning the purport of this declaration, at the first meeting of this conference held on the 5th of November, the delegates from each country spoke earnestly and most freely on this question. Q Do you recall any of the meetings of the representatives concerning the wording in the proposed declaration?

A In general, concerning the general drift of the declaration, announcements -- notifications had already been sent privately to the various countries concerned and their opinions asked for. But I remember that on November 3 a meeting of the Secretariat

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

was held previous to the actual conference itself, and at this meeting the various desires expressed by the various countries were taken in to a certain extent, and the wording was somewhat changed. And at the time of the adoption of the principles on November 6, was there likewise a discussion? Since this matter had been very thoroughly discussed in previous meetings, I do not believe there was much discussion on the question of the resolution itself on the day it was adopted. THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until halfpast nine tomorrow morning. (Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Friday, 7 March 1947, at 0930.)

23

22

24